1 Jon N. Robbins WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 2 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. 3 Loon Lake, WA 99148 509-232-1882 4 866-565-1327 facsimile 5 jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com Attorney for Plaintiffs 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 Case No. CV-08-399-LRS 10 JOSEPH AND DENISE YANEZ. 11 Plaintiffs, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** 12 **FEDERAL FAIR COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** 13 VS. AND INVASION OF PRIVACY 14 COLLECTCORP CORPORATION,) 15 Defendant. 16 17 I. NATURE OF ACTION 18 19 1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for 20 Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 21 22 1692, et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA") and of the Revised Code of Washington, 23 Chapter 19.16, both of which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in abusive, 24 deceptive, and unfair practices. Plaintiffs further alleges a claim for invasion of 25 26 privacy by intrusion, ancillary to Defendant's collection efforts. 27

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d).

III. PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiffs, Joseph and Denise Yanez, are natural person residing in the State of Washington, County of Douglas, and City of Palisades.
- 4. Plaintiffs are "consumers" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3), and a "debtor" as defined by RCW § 19.16.100(11).
- 5. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, Collectcorp Corporation, ("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiffs, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 6. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and a "licensee," as defined by RCW § 19.16.100(9).

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant contacted Plaintiffs in an attempt to collect an alleged outstanding debt.

12 13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA and RCW § 19.16 in multiple ways, including but not limited to:

- a. Without having received the prior consent of Plaintiff or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, and without it being necessary to effect a post-judgment remedy, communicating with a third party other than in the manner prescribed by 15 USC § 1692b (§ 1692c(b));
- b. In connection with an attempt to collect an alleged debt from Plaintiff, contacting a third party for purposes other than obtaining location information (§ 1692b & § 1692c(b));
- c. Causing Plaintiffs telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff (§ 1692d(5));
- d. Communicating with Plaintiff at times or places which were known or should have been known to be inconvenient for Plaintiff, including contacting Plaintiff at 6:30 am (§ 1692c(a)(1);
- e. Threatening to take an action against Plaintiff that cannot be legally taken or that was not actually intended to be taken, including threatening to contact the relatives of Joseph Yanez in attempt to coerce him to pay on the debt (§ 1692e(5);
- f. Failing to disclose Defendants true corporate or business name in a telephone call to Plaintiff (§ 1692d(6)).
- Defendant's aforementioned activities, set out in paragraph 8, also 9. constitute an intentional intrusion into Plaintiffs' private places and into private matters of Plaintiffs' life, conducted in a manner highly offensive to a reasonable person. With respect to the setting that was the target of Defendant's intrusions, Plaintiffs had a subjective expectation of privacy that was objectively reasonable under the circumstances.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

10. As a result of Defendant's behavior, detailed above, Plaintiffs suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiffs' feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress.

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

11. Plaintiffs reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF WASHINGTON COLLECTION AGENCY ACT, WHICH IS A PER SE VIOLATION OF THE WASHINGTON CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

12. Plaintiffs reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- B. Actual damages;
- C. Discretionary Treble Damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees,
- F. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT III: COMMON LAW INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION

13. Plaintiffs reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages
- B. Punitive Damages; and,
- C. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of December, 2008.

s/Jon N. RobbinsJon N. RobbinsWEISBERG & MEYERS, LLCAttorney for Plaintiffs

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5